

## SCRUTINY SCORECARD - - SUMMARY FOR MEMBERS

Quarter 1 2008/09

Members wishing to find out more information on the precise definitions and means of measurement of each PI should consult the explanatory notes which were agreed by O&S on 10 July 2007 and the supplementary alterations made at the Chairman's Meeting on 24 April 2008

Ref	Q/Annual	Ref name	07/08 Target	07/08 Actual	08/09 Target	Variance	Q1 Actual	Q2 Actual	Q3 Actual	Q4 Actual	Annual	Commentary
C1	Q	% of issues considering data from the Forward Plan	60%	2.6% - RED	30%	10% Variance	0% - RED					Again, no issues from the FP were considered in the first quarter. The target has been reduced but nonetheless more work needs to be done to analyse the FP regularly and to plan the agenda accordingly, to consider FP items where relevant.
C2	Q	% of issues considering data from scrutiny leads	60%	71.8% - GREEN	60%	10% Variance	55% - AMBER					
C3	Q	% of issues deriving directly from the corp S / PI function	50%	37.5% - RED	65%	10% Variance	60% - AMBER					Most issues under this heading were considered by P&F.
C4	A	% of WP items subjected to VFM test under Scr Principles	100%	100% - GREEN	100%	3% Variance						
C5	Q	% of comments to hits received at scrutiny website (as %)	13%	N/A	n/a							This indicator is being removed for 08/09
C6	A	% of findings reflecting cmnts made by local ppl	30%	27% - AMBER	30%	10% Variance						
C7	A	% of res panel with a "g" or "fg" knwldg of scrutiny	30%	N/A	30%	10% Variance						
PE1	A	% of offs cnsdrng scr's input into pol "useful"/"v useful".	100%	65% - RED	80%	5% Variance						
PE2	Q	Circulation of review info prior to publication	100%	100% - GREEN	100%	5% Variance	100% - GREEN					
PE3	A	% of offs cnsdrng opp to input into WP "useful"/"v useful"	100%	55% - RED	80%	5% Variance						
PE4	A	% of offs sat with scrutiny process overall	100%	90% - AMBER	100%	10% Variance						
PE5	Q	% of recs approved by cabinet	100%	50% - RED	100%	3% Variance	100% - GREEN					
R1	A	Delivery of scrutiny WP within budget (% budget spent)	100%	97% - GREEN	100%	10% Variance						
R2	A	Delivery of IDRs within resources (% of budget spent)	100%	N/A	n/a							This indicator is being removed for 08/09
R3	A	Completion of PM framework as required	100%	89.7% - RED	100%	3% Variance						

R4	A	% of reviews successfully monitored on a 0.5yr/1yr basis	100%	100% - GREEN	100%	5% Variance					
R5	A	Prop of revs dmnstrng signif pos imp on service revw'd	100%	N/A	100%	10% Variance					
PS1	Q	% of findings reflecting evidence received from ptrns	60%	63.7% - GREEN	70%	10% Variance	83% - GREEN				
PS2	Q	% of meetings attended by co-optees where required	80%	64.6% - RED	80%	10% Variance	55.6% - RED				Co-optee attendance remains low this quarter, reflecting last year's trend
PS3	A	% of partners "satisfied" with scrutiny process	100%	100% - GREEN	100%	10% Variance					
PS4	Q	Ratio ext:int witnesses on relevant reviews (as %)	33%	61.2% - GREEN	60%	10% Variance	62% - GREEN				
PS5	Q	% of recs based on analysis of "bp" evidence	100%	100% - GREEN	100%	10% Variance	100% - GREEN				
PS6	Q	% of recs relating to ptrnship wkng, where appropriate	60%	93.3% - GREEN	80%	10% Variance	79% - AMBER				The slight dip in performance is due to the CIP Challenge Panel, all of whose recommendations were internally-facing.
S1	Q	Reviews reporting at agreed times	100%	100% - GREEN	100%	3% Variance	100% - GREEN				
S2	Q	Rev gp agendas made available 5 days in adv of meeting	100%	87.4% - RED	90%	10% Variance	100% - GREEN				More effective agenda and work planning have increased performance under this indicator dramatically since Q2 07/08
S3	Q	Timely production of Harrow Scrutiny newsletter	100%	100% - GREEN	100%	5% Variance	0% - RED				Production of newsletter for this quarter was initially delayed because of the prioritisation of resources for other scrutiny activity. It was subsequently delayed to avoid confusion with the concurrent production of the annual report. Publication is planned imminently.
S4	Q	Info available on scrutiny website	100%	66.6% - RED	100%	10% Variance	100% - GREEN				Information on the scrutiny website currently reflects ongoing reviews.
S5	Q	Review meetings attended by Members where required	100%	62.2% - RED	90%	10% Variance	68.4% - RED				Member attendance remains low for this quarter, reflecting last year's trend.
S6	A	% of councillors "happy" with op of the scrutiny process	90%	80% - AMBER	90%	10% Variance					

#### RESULTS - Q4

**Lower threshold:** TEN  
**Middle threshold:** THREE  
**Upper threshold:** TWELVE  
**No data:** FOUR